2018

THE IMPACT OF EARNING MANAGEMENT AT INITIAL PUBLIC OFFERINGS ON RETURN ON SHARES WITH PROFITABILITY AS INTERVENING VARIABLE OF COMPANIES LISTED IN INDONESIA STOCK EXCHANGE

Ciquita Yan Toba Wilson R L Tobing

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study is to attain three things. Firstly, to test and analyze the impact of earning management at initial public offering (IPO) on company profitability after IPO. Secondly, to test and analyze the impact of earning management at IPO and company profitability after IPO on company return on shares after IPO. Thirdly, to prove company profitability before IPO as the mediating variable of the impact of earning management at IPO on company return on shares after IPO. Thirdly, to 2015. Non-financial companies listed on Indonesia Stock Exchange in 2004 to 2015. Non-financial companies intended do not come from hotel, tourism and real estate industry. Furthermore, the companies as sample are taken from population by using stratified random sampling where the years acting as strata. This study uses path confirmatory factor analysis and path analysis model as method of data analysis. This study sums up three things. Firstly, earning management at IPO and company profitability after IPO have positve impact on company profitability after IPO. Secondly, earning management at IPO and company profitability after IPO have positve impact on company return on shares after IPO. Thirdly, company profitability after IPO does not act as the mediating variable of the impact of earning management at IPO and company profitability after IPO.

Keywords: initial public offering, earning management, return on shares, profitability.

BACKGROUND

When a company decides to go public, all attempts are made to appear attractive on the first day of shares listing on the stock exchange. Therefore, a well-preparation is done in advance of the public offering (Cahyanto, 2003). According to Gumanti (2001), the management of a company must explain the company's condition thoroughly before offering its shares. Sulistyanto and Wibisono (2003) state that the phenomenon of information asymmetry and performance degradation often occurs at the IPO. Therefore, a manager attempts to regulate reported profit rates by selecting certain accounting methods to increase the acceptance of the IPO. However, Shivakumar (2000) explains that a manager has conducted overstate offerings before announcing seasoned equity offerings. In this case, a management of a company undertakes information asymmetry efforts in order to obtain a high initial share price. The manager's attitude, however, in manipulating the company's financial statements is unlikely to continue in the long run, thus after the offer, the company will experience a decrease in performance (underperformance). According to Shivakumar (2000), the low performance of the post-offering is due to the "inappropriate" earning measurement (overstated) and is known as earning management.

The existence of earnings management, especially the one that occurs before the IPO has not yet given consistent impacts on the profitability of a company after the IPO. This is shown by studies conducted by Setyaningrum & Pujiastuti (2009) and Sulistiani & Maha (2016) which show the positive impact of earnings management on company's profitability while studies by Dewi (2013), Nuryaman (2013), Yudha, Dzulkirom & Sudjana (2016) show the negative impact of earnings management on company's profitability. The Differences in the results of similar studies also occurred in the studies about the impact of earnings management before the IPO on company return on shares after the IPO. Some research show positive impact (Pradana & Wirasedana, 2016), some show negative impact (Joni & Hartono, 2009), and some even show zero impact (Surya & Januarti, 2012; Indrayanti & Wirakusuma, 2017). The same occurs to the profitability impact after the IPO on company return on shares after the IPO. For example, studies conducted by Riyanti (2012) and Dewi (2013) show that profitability after the IPO has a positive impact on return on shares. Meanwhile, a different result is shown by Andayani, Wiksuana, & Sedana (2017), which documents that the profitability of the company after the IPO does not have any impact on return on shares.

Based on the contradictive results of those studies, the current study aims to re-examine the impact of earnings management at the IPO on company's profitability after the IPO, and the impact of company's earnings management during the IPO and its profitability after the IPO on return on shares of companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange. While at the same time, this study also aims to examine the existence of company's profitability after IPO as intervening variable or a mediator of earnings management impact during IPO on company return on shares after the IPO at Indonesia Stock Exchange.

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK AND THE DEVELOPMENT OF HYPOTHESIS

Earning Management

Scott (2006) explains that earning management is the selection of accounting policies by managers to achieve specific goals. Sutrisno (2002) defines earnings management as an intervention with a specific purpose in an external financial reporting process to gain some private advantages. Whereas, according to Healy & Wahlen (1999), earnings management occurs when a manager uses judgments in financial reporting, and establishes transactions to change financial statements in order to manipulate the amount of earnings to some stakeholders about the underlying economic performance of the company, or to influence the outcome of the agreement depending on the reported accounting figures. The earnings management in this study was measured by discretionary accruals (DA) using the Kang and Sivaramakrishnan (KS) model (1995). This model was chosen because it is the most recent and has not been used widely to measure earnings management. The steps to calculate DA based on KS model are as follows.

- 1. Calculating accrual balance (AB) by using equation below. $AB_{it} = CA_{it} - CASH_{it} - CL_{it} - DEP_{it}$ where: AB_{it} = accrual balance of company i in year t, CA_{it} : current assets of company i in year t, $CASH_{it}$: cash of company i in year t, CL_{it} : current liabilities of company i in year t, DEP_{it} : depreciation and amortisation costs of company
- i in year t. 2. Calculating the values of δ_1 , δ_2 , and δ_3 by using the formula in the equation as follow.

$$\delta_1 = \frac{ART_{i,t-1}}{RRV}$$

$$S = OCAL_{i,t-1}$$

$$\delta_2 = \frac{1}{EXP_{i,t}}$$

$$\delta_3 = \frac{DEP_{i,t-1}}{CPPE}$$

where ART: account receivable of company; REV: revenue; OCAL: Total current assets minus account receivable minus current liabilities of company; EXP: net income minus operating income minus depreciation cost of company; DEP depreciation and amortisation cost of company; GPPE = gross fixed assets of company; $i = cross \ section \ unit$: company, t = the concerned year, t-1: one year before the concerned year.

3. Estimating regression coefficients ϕ_0 , ϕ_1 , ϕ_2 , dan ϕ_3 of the equation as follow.

$$\frac{AB_{i,t}}{A_{i,t-1}} = \phi_0 + \phi_1 \left(\delta_1 \frac{\text{REV}_{i,t}}{Ai_{i,t-1}} \right) + \phi_2 \left(\delta_2 \frac{\text{EXP}_{i,t}}{Ai_{i,t-1}} \right) + \phi_3 \left(\delta_3 \frac{\text{GPPE}_{i,t}}{Ai_{i,t-1}} \right)$$

where t = the concerned period, t-1: one period before the concerned period.

- 4. Creating a prediction value for $\frac{AB_{i,t}}{A_{i,t-1}}$. This prediction value is the value of non- *discretionary accruals* (NDA).
- 5. Calculating the value of *discretionary accruals* (DA) with the formula of the equation as follows.
- $DA_{it} = AB_{it}/A_{it-1} NDA_{it}$

If the obatained value of discretionary accruals is greater than 0 (DA> 0), then the management has raised the profit (income increasing), and vice versa.

Profitability as a Proxy for Financial Performance

Financial performance reflects the fundamental performance of a company as measured through financial statements. One of the ratios resulting from financial statement analysis is profitability ratio. This profitability ratio describes the ability of a company to generate profits (Hanafi, 2008). A higher profitability ratio indicates better prospects of the company (Sujoko and Soebiantoro, 2007). In previous studies related to the topic of earnings management, the proxies for profitability ratio are as follows.

- a. Change of Net Profit Margin (Setyaningrum & Pujiastuti, 2009; Dewi, 2013, Yudha, *et al.*, 2016) with the formula in the equation as follows.
- ΔNPM= NPM_{t+1} NPM_t
 b. Change of Gross Profit Margin (Wardani & Fitriati, 2010) with the formula in the equation as follows.
 ΔGPM= GPM_{t+1} GPM_t
- c. Change of Return On Equity (Andayani, et al., 2017; Yudha, et al., 2016) and change of Return On Asset/ Return On Investement (Yudha, et al., 2016) with the formula in the equation as follows. ΔROE= ROE_{t+1} - ROE_t ΔROA= ROA_{t+1} - ROA_t

Return on Shares

Return on shares is a result obtained from stock investment activities conducted in the capital market (Hartono, 2015).

The Impact of Earnings Management at the IPO on Company Profitability After the IPO

The result of Dewi (2013) and Nuryaman (2013) studies shows that the company's earnings management before the initial offering negatively affects the profitability of the company after the initial offering. The decline in profitability, according to Joni & Hartono (2009), reflects the inability of a management to continue profit manipulation after the company has conducted the initial public offering.

H₁: Earnings management at the IPO negatively affects the company profitability after the IPO.

The Impact of Earnings Management at the IPO on Company Return on Shares After the IPO

A high amount of information asymmetry occurs between the company's management and potential investors for the company that is going to do initial offering. This is because the information about the company is relatively difficult to obtain by potential investors. When the company makes an initial offer, potential investors rely solely on the information contained in the prospectus where one of the information contained in it is the financial statements (Dewi, 2013). However, in order to gain substantial funding through initial offering, managers will do earnings management to make the figures in the financial statements attract the attention of investors. Meanwhile, the impact of earnings management cannot last long so that stock prices will decline by themselves after the company makes its initial offer. The decline in stock prices is because investors have realized the real conditions of the company (Shivakumar, 2009). This condition is confirmed by the result of study conducted by Joni & Hartono (2009) which shows earnings management before the initial offer negatively affects return on shares after the company made initial offering.

H₂a: Earnings management at the IPO negatively affects the return on shares after the IPO

The Impact of Company Profitability After the IPO on Company Return on Shares After the IPO

Profitability can serve as a signal for companies at the market to tell that the company is still prospective to grow (Riyanti, 2012). This argument is confirmed by the result of Riyanti (2012) and Dewi (2013) studies which show that the company profitability after the initial public offering positively affects the company return on shares after the initial public offering. H₂b: *Company profitability after the IPO positively affects company return on shares after the IPO*

The Existence of Company Profitability After the IPO as Mediation Variable of the Impact of Earnings Management at the IPO on Return on Shares After the IPO

The existence of company profitability as mediation variable when the amount of indirect impact (the impact of earnings management at the IPO on return on shares after the IPO through company profitability) is bigger than that of the direct impact of earnings management at the IPO on company return on shares after the IPO.

H₃: Company profitability after the IPO mediates the impact of earnings management at the IPO on return on shares after the IPO.

REASERCH METHODOLOGY

The object of the current study is the issuers that did the initial public offering at Indonesia Stock Exchange from 2004-2015. The information of financial statement used is financial information one year before the company did an IPO and one year after the IPO. The population in the current study is non-financial companies except companies engaged in the hospitality sector, travel and real estate. Based on the information obtained from IDX Fact Book owned by Indonesia Stock Exchange, there are 227 companies that went public from 2004 until 2015. Considering the population of the issuers is limited only to the above provisions, the researchers recalculated the number of issuers that become the population. Based on the recalculation, there were 109 companies. The sampling method used was random sampling method (stratified random sampling). The Slovin formula can be seen in the following equation (see Suliyanto, 2009).

$$n = \frac{N}{1 + Ne^2}$$

Based on the calculation of the formula, it was then obtained the number of samples (n) as many $n = \frac{N}{1+Ne^2} = \frac{109}{1+109(0,05)(0,05)} = 85,66 \approx 86$ companies (rounded up).

The path analysis model is used to analyse the relationship pattern between the variables which aims to find out the direct or indirect impacts of a set of independent variables (exogenous) to the dependent variable (endogen) (Riduwan & Kuncoro, 2008).

RESULT

Confirmatory factor analysis is used to select the right indicator as profitability proxy.

The Result of Confirmatory Factor Analysis				
	Value Loading Factor	S.E	p-value	Remark
ΔNPM	0,910	0,412	0.015	Valid
ΔGPM	0,795	0,259	0.001	Valid
ΔROE	0,866	0,566	0.065	Valid
ΔROA	0, 950	0,586	0.054	Valid
	Source: Ouput WarpP	LS3 that ha	s been modified	

Source. Ouput warpi LSS that has been mounted

From the four indicators above, it turns out that ROA shows the largest loading factor which is 0.950. Thus, ROA serves as an indicator that best reflects profitability. Furthermore, this ROA is used as a proxy for profitability which can be used in forming path analysis model.

After determining the ROA as the best profitability proxy, then the next step is to create path analysis model by using variancebased structural equation model. This structural equation model can be seen below.



2018

Hypothesis 1 states that earnings management at the IPO negatively affects company profitability after the IPO. This hypothesis was tested by comparing the probability value of the regression coefficient β 1 with the significance level (α) of 5%. In the figure above the probability value of regression coefficient β 1 of 0.371 and the regression coefficient show a positive sign. Since the probability value is greater than α of 5%, the null hypothesis is accepted. Thus, earnings management at the IPO has no impact on profitability after the IPO.

Hypothesis 2a states that earnings management at the IPO negatively affects company return on shares after the IPO. This hypothesis was tested by comparing the probability value of the regression coefficient $\beta 2$ with the significance level (α) of 5%. The figure above shows that the probability value of regression coefficient $\beta 2$ is less than 0.001 and the regression coefficient shows a positive sign. Given that this probability value is smaller than α of 5%, then the null hypothesis is accepted. Thus, earnings management at the IPO has a significant positive impact on return on shares after the IPO.

Hypothesis 2b states that the company profitability after the IPO positively affects return on shares after the IPO. This hypothesis was tested by comparing the probability value of the β 3 regression coefficient with a significance level (α) of 5%. In the figure above, the probability value of β 3 regression coefficient is less than 0.001. This value is smaller than α of 5%, so the alternative hypothesis is accepted. Thus, the company profitability after the IPO positively affects company return on shares after the IPO.

Hypothesis 3 states that the existence of profitability can mediate the effect of earnings management at the IPO on company return on shares after the IPO. The testing for this hypothesis was done by comparing indirect effect (result of multiplication of standardized regression coefficient β 1 with β 3, second number coefficient is in the figure above) with direct effect (see standardized regression coefficient β 2 in the figure above):

- a. The amount of indirect effect of earnings management at the IPO on company return on shares after the IPO through profitability after the IPO is 0.068 * 0.477 = 0.0324.
- b. The amount of direct effect of earnings management at the IPO on company return on shares after the IPO is 0.451.

Considering the amount of indirect effect wich is less than that of the direct effect, the hypothesis which states the existence of profitability after the IPO as a variable mediating the effect of earnings management at the IPO on company return on shares after the IPO is rejected. The existence of profitability during IPO cannot mediate the effect of earnings management at the IPO on company return on shares after the IPO.

The Limitations of the current study is that it only used 3 (three) research variables which are modeled in path analysis. Further research can continue to use the path analysis model by adding some research variables that are expected to have impacts besides earnings management at the IPO on company return on shares after the IPO.

REFERENCES

- Andayani, N.S., Wiksuana, I.G.B., & Sedana, I.B.P. (2017). Kinerja Keuangan Sebelum Dan Sesudah IPO dan Pengaruhnya terhadap Nilai Perusahaan PT Garuda Indonesia (Persero) Tbk., *E-Journal Economic and Bussines Udayana University*, 6.3: 881-908.
- Cahyanto,Y.A.D. (2013). Earning Management pada Perusahaan sebelum dan setelah IPO, Thesis Not Published. Jakarta: Universitas Indonesia.
- Dewi, R.K. (2013). Pengaruh Manajemen Laba Sebelum Initial Public Offerings Terhadap Kinerja Keuangan Serta Dampaknya Terhadap Return Saham Pada Perusahaan Di Bursa Efek Indonesia, Thesis Not Published. Denpasar: Program Udayana University.
- Gumanti, T. A. (2001). Earnings Management dalam Penawaran Saham Perdana di Bursa Efek Jakarta. Jurnal Riset Akuntansi Indonesia, 4 (2): 165-183.
- Hanafi, M.M. (2008). Manajemen Keuangan, Edisi 1, Yogyakarta: BPFE-UGM.
- Hartono, J. (2015). Teori Portofolio dan Analisis Investasi, Ten Editions, Yogyakarta: BPFE-UGM.
- Healy, P.M & Wahlen, J.M. (1999). A Review of the Earnings Management Literature and Its Implication for Standard Setting, Accounting Horizons, 13 (4): 365-383.
- Indrayanti, N.P.A.D & Wirakusuma, M.G (2017). Pengaruh Manajemen Laba pada Return Saham dengan Kualitas Audit dan Corporate Governance sebagai Variabel Pemoderasi, E-Journal Economic and Bussines Udayana University, 20.3: 1762-1790.
- Joni & Hartono J. (2009). Hubungan Manajemen Laba Sebelum IPO dan Return Saham dengan Kecerdasan Investor sebagai Variabel Pemoderasi. Jurnal Riset Akuntansi Indonesia 12(1): 51-67.
- Kang, S.H. & Sivaramakrishnan, K. (1995). Issues in Testing Earnings Management and An Instrumental Variable Approach. Journal of Accounting Research, 33 (2): 353-367.
- Nuryaman (2013). The Influence of Earnings Management on Stock Return and the Role of Audit Quality as a Moderating Variable, *International Journal of Trade, Economics and Finance*, 4 (2): 73-78.
- Pradana, G.B.W.P & Wirasedana, I.W.P (2016). Pengaruh Manajemen Laba Menjelang Initial Public Offering Pada Return Saham Dengan Ukuran Perusahaan Sebagai Pemoderasi, E-Journal Economic and Bussines Udayana University, 15.3: 1968-1993.
- Riduwan & Kuncoro, E.A. (2008). Cara Menggunakan dan Memaknai Analisis Jalur (Path Analysis), Bandung: Alfabeta.

Riyanti (2012). Pengaruh *Initial Return, Leverage*, Profitabilitas, *Earning Per Share* dan Ukuran Perusahaan Terhadap Return Saham Pasca IPO Perusahaan BUMN Yang Terdaftar Di Bursa Efek Indonesia, *Iqtishad*, 12 (28): 95-110.

Scott, W.R. (2006). Financial Accounting Theory, 5th Edition. Canada: Practice Hall.

- Setyaningrum, I.S. & Pujiastuti, S. I.S. (2009). Analisis Manajemen Laba (*Earning Management*) Pada Kinerja Perusahaan Yang Melakukan IPO. Jurnal Bisnis dan Manajemen, 9 (1): 65-74.
- Shivakumar, L. (2000). Do Firms Mislead Investors by Overstating Earnings Before Seasoned Equity Offerings? *Journal of* Accounting Economics 29 (3): 339-371.

Sulistiani, D., & Maha, F.W.O. (2016). Analisis Manajemen Laba dan Kinerja Operasi Terhadap Profitabilitas pada Perusahaan Go Public yang Melakukan Initial Public Offering (IPO), Business and Finance Journal, 1 (1): 39-60.

Suliyanto (2009). Metode Riset Bisnis, Second Edition, Yogyakarta: ANDI.

- Sujoko & Soebiantoro, U. (2007). Pengaruh Kepemilikan Saham, Laverage, Faktor Intern dan Faktor Ektern Terhadap Nilai Perusahaan (Studi Emperik Pada Perusahaan Manufaktur di Bursa Efek Jakarta), *Jurnal Manajemen dan Kewirausahaan*, 9 (1): 41-48.
- Sulistyanto & Wibisono, H. (2003). Seasoned Equity Offerings: Antara Agency Theory, Windows Of Opportunity, dan Penurunan Kinerja, Proceeding Simposium Nasional Akuntansi (SNA) VI Surabaya.
- Surya, A., & Januarti, (2012). Hubungan Manajemen Laba Sebelum IPO Terhadap *Return* Saham dengan Ukuran Perusahaan Sebagai Variabel Moderasi, *Diponegoro Journal of Accounting*, 1 (2): 1-8.
- Sutrisno. (2002). Studi Manajemen Laba (*Earnings Management*): Evaluasi Pandangan Profesi Akuntansi, Pembentukan, dan Motivasinya. *Kompak*, 5 (Mei): 158-179.
- Wardani, S & Fitriati, R. (2010). Analisis Komparasi Profitabilitas Sebelum dan Sesudah Penawaran Umum Saham Perdana, Bisnis & Birokrasi, Jurnal Ilmu Administrasi dan Organisasi, 17 (2): 90-100.
- Yudha, I.S., Dzulkirom, M. & Sudjana, N. (2016) Pengaruh Manajemen Laba Sebelum Initial Public Offerings Terhadap Kinerja Keuangan (Studi pada Perusahaan yang Melakukan Initial Public Offerings di Bursa Efek Indonesia Periode 2009-2013), Jurnal Administrasi Bisnis, 32 (1): 27-35.

Ciquita Yan Toba Maranatha Christian University Jl Prof. Drg. Suria Sumatri No. 60 Bandung, Indonesia ciki_toru@yahoo.co.uk

Wilson R L Tobing Lecture Sampoerna University L'Avenue, Jl. Raya Pasar Minggu Kav. 16 Pancoran Jakarta, Indonesia wilsonrltobing@gmail.com