# GENERAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS (GMS) IMPLEMENTATION VIA VIDEO CONFERENCE REVIEWED FROM THE LAW OF NOTARY POSITION

Grace Intan Permatasari Albertus Sentot Sudarwanto

#### **ABSTRACT**

This studyyaims to explain the conflict of norms in holding a GeneralyMeetingkof Shareholders (GMS) via video conference in terms of the Notary Office Law and the authenticity of the deed of the minutes of the GMS held via video conference maderby a Notaryl. The research method is normative juridical. Source of data used is secondary data. The approach used is a statutoryyapproach and a conceptual approach. The resultsgof thiskstudy are that there is a norm conflict between Article 77 of the PT Law and Article 16 paragraph (1) letter m of the NotaryuOffice Law, where Article 77 states that GMS can be held via electronic media, one of which is video conferencing, but in Article 16 paragraphh(1) letter m of the Notary Office Law states that the Notary is required to be physically present, but with the ITE Law stipulating that electronic gsignatures have legal force and legal consequences, as well as exceptions in Article 6 of the ITE Law, and when analyzed using the lex specialist derogate legal generally principle, it can be concluded that the provisions in Articlee 16 paragraph (1) letter m onlyapply to deeds other than the GMS deedyas stipulated in Articlee 77 paragraph (1) jo. elucidation of Article 77 (4) of the PT Law. Meanwhile, the Minutes of the GMS via video conference have the power of authenticity because they are a release deed, which requires only the notary to sign the signature and does not require the parties to sign the deed, while the Deed of Statement of GMS Resolutions via video conference can only be heldif the material The GMSrregarding amendments to the Articles of Association, and has authentic power if the GMS process via video conferencing complies with Article 77 of the PT Law and other related regulations, has fulfilled the requirements stipulated in Article 11 of the ITE Law, regarding matters such as electronic signing, the place of signing, as well as the reading of the deed, this must be stated explicitly at the end of the deed.

Keywords: Deed Authenticity, Notary, General Meeting of Shareholders, Video Conference.

#### INTRODUCTION

Science in the field of digital technology in Indonesia is currently experiencing very rapid development. This can be seen from the ease and efficiency in work and daily activities with the sophistication of technology and communication. Theddigital technology revolutionnis anothertterm for industry 4.0, referred to as the "digitalrevolution" because of the proliferation of computers and=automation of recordssin all fields (Wibawa & Yogantara, 2021). The rapidddevelopment of digital technology has also hadaan impact onllegal developments inIndonesia, where, in carrying out legal relations, the interested parties do not have to meettface tooface, but simply use electronic and internet media as a means. One of the opportunities in the field of law with the development of digital technology is the acceptanceoof electroniccmedia such as teleconferences or video conferences as a means for holding General Meetings of Shareholders (GMS), as stipulated in Law Number 40 of 2007 concerninglLimited LiabilityvCompanies (Law PT) Article 77 paragraph (1), which states that apart from holding a GMS face-to-face or conventionally as stipulated in Article 76, a GMSccan also be held via teleconferenceemedia, video conferences, or otherrelectronic media facilities that allow all GMS participants to communicate with each other, see and hear directly and participate in meetings.

For every GMS held throughhelectronic=media, Article 77 paragraph (4) of the PT Law mandates that the minutes of the meeting must be made out of the GMS, which issapproved and signeddby all GMSpparticipants. Then in Article 21 paragraph (4) of the PT Law, it is stipulated that the minutes of the GMS regarding changesto the Articles of Association must be contained or stated in a notarialddeed and in the Indonesianl language. Thismeans that the Notary has an obligation not only to make deeds of minutes of GMS which is done conventionally, but also to make deeds of minutes of GMS which is done via electronic media. Aanotary is a stateoofficial or public official who is appointed by thesstate to carryuout state duties in providingolegal servicess to the communityy in order touachieve legal certainty as an official who makes authentic deeds in civil matters (Fasya, 2022). Notary deed is an authentic deed made before a Notary according to the procedure or form that has beenostipulated in LawnNumber 2 of 2014 concerning Amendmentssto Law Number 30 of 2004 concerninggthe Positionoof Notaryy(Notary Office Law), and the Notary in carrying out One of the duties and authorities of his position is that the procedure and form in making a deed must be based on the Notary Office Law.

The consequence of the GMS being held through electronic media, one of which is video conferencing, is that all meeting participants are not present in person and are not in the same place, even thoughaArticle 16 paragraph (1) letter m of the Notary Office Law stipulates that a Notary has an obligation to read the deed beforetthe appearer, attendedby at leaste2 (two)ywitnesses, or 4 (four)twitnessesyfor the making of a private will, and signedaat the sameutime by theaappearer, witness, and Notary. Arrangements regarding GMS which can not only be held conventionally but also through electronic media, do accommodate developments in digitaltechnology that are developing at this time, but due to the Law onnNotary Positionaas a legal norm governing theduties and powers of a Notary as an officiala authorized to make a deed out of the minutes of GMS has not yet regulated cyber notaries (Merlyani, 2020), and the making of GMS minutes via videovconference as an authenticgleed still raises new views among legal experts (Tuasika, 2021), so holding GMS through electronic media, one of which is video conference, can potentially cause problems in the future because of a legal vacuum. Based on thetdescription of the problem, the authory are interested in studyinggthe holding of GMS via video conferencingin terms of the Notary Office Law.

#### RESEARCH PROBLEMS

- 1. How is the conflict of norms in holding a Generall Meeting ofgShareholders (GMS) via video conference interms of the Notary Office Law?
- 2. Does the deedgof the minutes9of the General Meeting of Shareholders (GMS) held via video conference madeby a Notary have authentic strength?

#### RESEARCH METHOD

Theetype of research used in this study is a normative juridical approach. The approachuused is a statutoryand conceptual approach. The datayused is secondaryudata. The data analysishmethod used is qualitative, and the results of the analysis are presented descriptively, which will then obtain answers to the problems and draw conclusions on the answers to these problems.

#### **DISCUSSION**

### Conflict of Norms in Organizing General Meetings of Shareholders (GMS) via Video Conference In terms of the Law on Notary Positions

Theulaw on PT stipulates that the holding of a General Meeting of Shareholders (GMS) can be carried out in 2 (two) ways, namely, an ordinary or conventional GMS as regulated in Article 76 and a GMS through electronic media such as teleconferences, video conferences, or other electronic media regulated in Article 77. The electronic medium that will be discussed in this paper is video conferencing. Video conferencing is a method of communication between two or more places with voice, vision, and signal support to conveyelectronic media for interaction (Putra, 2019). In Article 78 paragraph (1) of the PT Law, it is stated that the GMS consistsoof the annual GMS andpother GMS. Other GMS can be held at anyptime basedpon the need for the benefit of the PT as stipulatedpin Article 78 of thepPT Law. Each time holding a GMS by Article 77 paragraphb(4) of the PT Law is required to make minutes of the meeting results. Article 21 paragraph (4) of the PT Law stipulates that those who have the authority to make GMS minutespregarding amendments to the Articles of Association are Notaries, so if the material for a GMS meeting is about changes to the Articles of Association and the meeting is held via video conference, a Notary deed must also be made, and the Notary's duties and authorities must be based on the Law on Notary Office, which is the main legal umbrella for Notaries.

Holding GMS via video conference requires the signaturesuof all meeting participants as stipulated in Article77 paragraph (4) of the PT Law, Since not all meeting participants are in one place, an electronic signature is needed as a sign of approval from the meeting participants. The procedurepfor makingaudeed of GMS minutes through video conferencing, which does not physically bring together parties, witnesses, and notaries, is contrary to the Lawton Notary Office Article 16 paragraph (1) letter m whichpstates that inycarrying out his position, a Notary isuobliged to read thejdeed before the appearerpin the presence of attleast 2 (two) witnesses, or 4 (four)uwitnesses specifically for the making of a willounder thephand, and sign it at the same time by the appearers, witnesses, and a Notary. In Article016 paragraph (9) of the NotarypOffice Law, it also stipulates that if the conditions in Article 16 paragraph (1) lettermare not met, then thepdeed only0has the poweroft proof under the hand. In addition, in Article 1 Number 7 of the Notary Office Law it is regulated that aNotary deed is an authentic deed drawn up by or before a Notary in the form and procedure stipulated in the Notary Office Law. So it can be seen that there is a norm conflict between the PT Law and the Law on Notary Positions, because in the process of making deed of GMS minutes through video conferencing is done electronically, starting from the presence of the parties, exchanging information through electronic transactions, and using digital signatures, while what is meant in Article01 point 7 of the NotaryoOffice Law, the use of the words facing, facing, opposite, and before in the Notary Office Law is a translation of the word verschijnen which means coming to face what is meant in a juridical sense is a real presence (Wijanarko, Mulyoto, & Supanto, 2015).

Basedpon the foregoing, it ispclear that therejis a norm conflict betweenpthe Law on Notary Office and the Law on LimitedpLiability Companies regarding the Notary deed in the GMS which is held via video conferencing. Actually, electronic signatures already have regulations, namely in Law Number 19 of 2016, concerningoAmendments to LawoNumber 11 of 2008 concerning Information anduElectronicyTransactions (ITE Law), where in Article 11 paragraph (1) the ITEoLaw provides online recognition. It is clear that even though it is only a code, electronic signatures jhave the same status as manual signatures in general, which have legallforce and legaliconsequences. However, there are restrictions regarding electronic signatures regulated in Article05 paragraph (4) of theiITE Law, which states that thepprovisions regardingpelectronic information and/or electronicodocuments as referredoto in paragraph (1) do notpapply to: 1) Legal letters; 2) written; 3) legal letterspandidocuments; 4) must be drawn up in the form of a notarial deed or a deed drawn up by a deed official, for example, all deed products issued by a notary or land deed official. Based on this article, the restrictions given by the UU ITE Law who do not allow electronically made, if documents/documents must required to be made inwritten form or in a notarial deed in line with those stipulatedoin Article 16 pparagraph (1) letter moof the Notary Law. The notary regulates, the notary must be physically present when reading or signing the deed Actually there is an exception in Article 6 of the ITE Law that states that if there are provisions other than those stipulated in Article 5 paragraph 4 of the ITE Law that require information to be in written or original form, then electronic information and/or electronichdocuments are considered valid as long as the information contained therein can be accessed, displayed, guaranteed for integrity, and accounted for in order to explain a situation. The regulation of Article 6 of the ITE Law, when combined with the provisions in Article 77 paragraph (1) and Article 210paragraph (4) of the PT Law, which stipulatesothat GMS can be held via electronic media, one of which is video conferencing and that those who have the authority to make deed of minutes of GMS regarding Amendments to the Articles of Association is a Notary, with no requirement that the Notary deed must be in written form, the Notary has the authority to make the deed of minutes of the GMS via video conference, and the deed of GMS via video conference made by the Notary is deemed valid as long as the information contained there in can be accessed, displayed, guaranteed for integrity, and can be accounted for so that it explains a situation.

Moreover, the authority of a Notary under the Notary Office Law does not only make deeds, but also has other powers. In order to adapt to the needs of technology and information development, through the interpretation of Article 15 paragraph (3) of the Notary Office Law, it can be ensured that a Notary has the right to authenticate transactionsy madep electronically, or transactions calledu "Cyber Notaries." Article 15 paragraph(3) of the NotaryrOffice Law determines that in addition to the powers mentioned in paragraphs (1) and (2),Notaries haveoother powers, and theseopowers are regulated in laws and regulations. Article 15 paragraph (3) offthe Notaryt Office Law explains other powers mentioned in this article, namelyr what is meanti by other authoritieseregulated inplaws and regulations, including the authority to verify transactions electronicallyp(Cyber Notary), according to the explanation in this article, the notary has the right to authenticate transactions conducted electronically. As a result, the Notary Office Law still allows for the concept of a cyber notary. However, this provision is only limited to certifying electronic transactions, and there is no regulation that explicitly authorizes a Notary to legalize electronic signatures in making the deed.

The conflict of norms governing the holding of GMS through electronic media and the making of deed of minutesy of GMS via electronic media in the PT Law and the Law on Notary Positions can also be analyzedusing the principle of preference in legislation lex specialispderogate legi generali. Principle is used the lex specialis derogate legi generali for the conflict between the two laws, then the lex generaliss is Article 16 paragrapht(1) letter m of the NotaryoOffice Law, while the lex specialis is Article 77pparagraph (1) jo.Explanation of Article 77 paragraph (4) of the PT Law. With a legal construction like this, the provisions on sanctions contained in article 16 paragraph (9) of the Notary Office Law do not apply, and the provisions in article 16 paragraph (1) letter m only apply to deeds other than the deed of theyGMS as stipulated in Article 77 paragraph (1) jo. explanation of articleo77 (4) of the PT Law (Dewi, 2016).

## Authenticity of the Deedpof Minutess of the General Meeting of Shareholders (GMS) Held Through Video Conference Made by a Notary

An authenticpdeed, according to Article 1868oof the Indonesian Civil Code, is a deed drawn up in a form determined by lawuby/or in the presence of a public official who is authorized gfor that purpose, at the place whereothe deed was drawn up. Regarding the results of the GMS that must be made in the form of a deed, a deed can be called an authentic deed if it fulfills the following conditions (Dewi, 2016):

- a. The form of thepdeed is in accordance with what is determined byllaw. In this case, the law that determines the form of therdeed is the Law on Notary Office, so the form of an authentic deed must follow Article 38 of the Lawpon Notary Office.
- b. Anyauthentic deed isomade in the presence of a public official appointed by the Minister. In this case, the Notaryy is a publicoofficial who has theaauthority to makeeauthentic deeds (in accordanceewith Article 1868 of thetCivil Code) and Article 21 paragraph (4) of the PT Law regarding GMSuregarding changes to the Articlesof Association.
- c. An authentic act is performed by angauthorized public official. Atnotary whogis on leavejor is being temporarilyrdismissed is nottauthorized to make an authentic deed. Likewise, ha Notarypwho hasknot been swornuin cannot make anwauthentic beded (the deed becomes an underhanded deed).

Thepprocess of makinghthe minutes of thelGMS into a Notarykdeed is possibleoin 2 (two) ways, fnamely: Firstu, with an Authentic Deed drawn up directlyt by the Notaryjin thekform of Minutes of thelGMS; second, the minutes of the meeting without presentingha notary are included in the AuthentickDeed, namelyy by making a Statementgof MeetinghResolutionsg(PKR). Basedhon the provisionskof Article 21rparagraph (5) of theiPT Law, PKR is madeeno laterhthan 30i (thirty) days from the date of the GMSjdecision (Lubis, 2021). In making a deed, it is mandatory for a notary toicomply with thepprovisions in the Notary Office Law, one of which is Article 16rparagraph (1)lletter m, which stipulates that a notary has the obligation toyread the deed and that a notaryymust also beephysically present andpsign the deedtin the presencehof witnesses. Without the presence of the notary when the deed is read in the presence of the parties and witnesses and is not signed at that time by the appearer, witness, and notary, theedeed willk lose its kauthenticity (Merlyani, 2020).

Minutes of GMS (including video conferencing GMS) are the Relaas Deed (made by a notary). In thesdeed, therNotary explains/hprovides in his position as a public official the testimony of all what he saw, witnessed, and experienced, which was done by other parties. Minutes of the GMS, including the GMS video conference do not require the signatureshof the partiesobut must be signed by the Notary as the maker of the deed. In the case of pmaking the Deed of Minutes of the pGMS, thewresults of the meeting conducted by teleconference must be attended directly by the Notary from the beginningg to thepend of the GMS to recordpall legalractions that occurred during the implementatione of the pGMS. The pGMS is attended by directors, shareholders, and Notaries, and the GMS is held facektojface, but for a video conferencing GMS the face-to face element is fulfilled not faceptonface physically but by using a monitor screen. The presence of the parties at a GMS via video conference media can be interpreted by the presence of directors, shareholders, and a Notaryin a room where the video conference is taking place, in other words, all parties meet face-to-face in front of the Notary and the meeting participants are present at the meeting. before a Notary. The notary must explain thatthe implementation of the GMS is held via video conference, explaining the time of the GMS, the place where the GMS is held, the participants of the GMS meeting, and the resolutions of the GMS meeting held via video conference. If there are meeting participants who leave the GMS room via video conferencing, the Notary is obliged to explain in the Deedpof Minutes he made that there are participants who leave the GMS meeting by explaining various reasons. So in the GMS Minutes, including the GMS via video conference, signatures from all shareholders are not required as required in Article 77pparagraph (4)tof the PT Law, but it is enough to be signed by a Notary because this Deed is atRelaas Deed, which is the responsibility of a full Notary (Adjie, 2009).

According to the pelucidation of PT Lawl Article 77, paragraph 4, what is meant by "approved and signed" when holding GMS via videoconferencing is whether it is papproved and signed physically=or electronically. Based on the form of an authenticle at stipulated in Article 38 of the Law on Notary Office, at the end of the deed aedescription of the yreading of the deed related to Article 16 paragraph (1) letter m of the yLaw ond Notary Office and a description of the signing vand place of signing must be stated, and then related to the minutes of the GMS held via video conference must be stated explicitly at the end

of the deed regarding electronic signing and the place of signing. This is intended so that the deed made can be authenticated by fulfilling the provisions regarding the form of the deed in Article738 of the Notary Office Law. So at the GMS via video conferencing, because the place for the GMS participants is different from the other participants, this must be explicitly stated so as not to result in the deed becoming an underhanded deed. Electronic signatures also have legal force and legal consequences as stipulated in Article011 of the ITE Law, if these provisions are linked to the deed of minutes of the GMS, and the electronic signature meets the requirements as stipulated in Article 11 affixed to the deed of minutes of the GMS, the legal consequence is that the deed5of minutes of the GMS becomes valid and has legal force because it has been verified and tested for authentication through a valid electronic signature (Fasya, 2022).

Based on the explanation above, there is no problem with the GMShMinutes via video conference because the GMS Minutes are a voluntary deed which does not require the parties to sign the deed, but only the Notaryis required to sign the deed. As for the deed of transmitting the Statement of Resolutions of the General Meetingof Shareholders via video conference, as explained in the elucidation of Article 77 paragraph (4, affixing signatures can be done physically or electronically, and electronic signatures, by Article 11 of the ITE Law are declared to have legal force, and legal consequences as long as they meet the requirements stipulated in Articleh11h of the ITEh Law, even though the Law on Notaryo Office has not been specifically regulated regarding electronic signing, which creates a legal vacuum, and in Article 16iparagraph (1) letter m of the Law The Notary's position also requires that the signing of the appearers be carried out physically in the presence of a Notary and witnesses, but if it adheres to the principle lex specialis derogate legi generali, Where the PT Law is lex specialis from the Law on Notary Position, then if the GMS regarding changes to the Articles of Associationthrough video conferencing has been implemented in accordance with the procedures stipulated in the Limited Liability PT Law and other related regulations, and the form of the deed is in accordance with Article 38 of the Notary OfficeaLaw and electronic signing, where the signing and reading of the deed are expressly stated at the end of the deed, and the signing and reading of the deed are expressly stated at the end of the deed, then thedeed of minutes of thedGMS via video conference is an authentic deed, as long as the parties express their agreement and it is included in the deed. Arrangements for making notarial deeds via video conferencing in the future must begin to be able to keep abreast of developments in Indonesia, especially in the notary world, so thatthe notary's function can run efficiently, practically, and legal certainty for the parties can be maintained. In the future, it is hoped that not only the deed of the GMS regarding amendments to the Articles of Association canbe made electronically, but other notarized deeds can be done electronically.

#### **CONCLUSION**

There is a conflict of norms governing the holding of GMS via video conferencing, namely in the PT Law Articlee77 paragraph (1) which stipulates that GMS can be held through electronic media, one of which is video conferencing, and the Notary Office Law which stipulates that a Notaryuin exercising its authoritytto makeaa deed must fulfill one of the obligations stipulated in Articled16 paragraph (1) letter m regarding a Notary who must be physically presentoand thesigning must be carried out at that time in the presence of appearers, Notaries, and witnesses. With the arrangements regarding electronic signatures regulated in the ITE Law, and exceptions in Article 6 of themITE Law, and when using the lex specialis derogate legi generali principle, it can be concluded that the provisions in Article 16 paragraph (1) letter m only apply to deeds other than the GMS deed as stipulated an Article 77 j paragraph (1) jo. elucidation of Article 77 (4) of the PT Law.

There is no problem with the authenticity of the Minutesoof the GMS via video conference because the Minuteswof the GMSkare a voluntary deed, which does not require the parties to sign the deed, but only the Notary isfrequired to sign the deed. Whereas the authenticity of the deed of GMS Decision Statement via video conference can only be carried0out if the material of the GMS is about changes to the Articles of Association and has authentic strength if the GMS process via video conference is in accordance with Article 77 of the PT Law and other relevant regulations and meets7the requirements set forth in Article 11 of thepITE Law, and regarding matters of electronic signing and the place of signing, as well as the reading of the deed, must be stated explicitly at the end of the deed.

#### REFERENCES

Ahmad Umar Farhan Tuasika. (2021). Keabsahan Akta Berita Acara Rapat Umum Pemegang Saham Perseroan Terbatas yang Dibuat Melalui Media Elektronik. Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Pancasila dan Kewarganegaraan, 6 (2).

Dwi Merlyani, dkk. (2020). Kewajiban Pembacaan Akta Otentik oleh Notaris dihadapan Penghadap dengan Konsep Cyber Notary. Jurnal Ilmiah Hukum Kenotariatan, 9 (1).

Fahma Rahman Wijanarko. (2015). Tinjauan Yuridis Akta Notaris Terhadap Pemberlakuan Cyber Notary di Indonesia Menurut Undang-Undang Nomor 2 Tahun 2014. Jurnal Repertorium, 2 (2).

Gania Fasya. (2022). Keabsahan Pembacaan Akta Melalui Video Conference di Era Digitalisasi. Jurnal Imiah Multi Disiplin Indonesia, 2 (1).

Habib Adjie. (2009). Meneropong Khazanah Notaris dan PPAT Indonesia (Kumpulan Tulisan tentang Notaris dan PPAT). Bandung: Citra Aditya Bakti.

Ikhsan Lubis. (2021). Transformasi Digital Penyelenggaraan Rapat Umum Pemegang Saham Perseroan Terbatas. Jurnal Ilmiah Penegakan Huku, 8 (2).

Kitab Undang-Undang Hukum Perdata

Nila Kusuma Dewi. (2016). Kedudukan Hukum Akta Risalah Rapat Umum Pemegang Saham (RUPS) Melalui Media Elektronik. Jurnal arena hukum, 1 (2).

Pande Gede Satria Wibawa & Pande Yogantara. (2021). Keautentikan Akta Risalah Rapat Umum Pemegang Saham (RUPS) Secara Elektronik Dalam Perspektif Cyber Notary. Jurnal Hukum Kenotariatan, 6 (3).

Undang-Undang Nomor 40 Tahun 2007 Tentang Perseroan Terbatas

Undang-Undang Nomor 2 Tahun 2014 Tentang Perubahan Atas Undang-Undang Nomor 30 Tahun 2004 Tentang Jabatan Notaris

Undang-Undang Nomor 11 Tahun 2008 Tentang Informasi dan Transaksi Elektronik Yahya Agung Putra, dkk. (2019). Video Konferensi dalam Rapat Umum Pemegang Saham Berdasarkan Pasal 77 Undang-Undang Perseroan Terbatas. Jurnal Ilmiah Hukum Kenotariatan, 5 (1).

Grace Intan Permatasari Notary Study Program Faculty of Law, Sebelas Maret University, Indonesia Email: graceintan95@gmail.com

Albertus Sentot Sudarwanto Faculty of Law, Sebelas Maret University, Indonesia Email: alsentotsudarwanto@yahoo.com